



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/244,190	02/04/1999	KUNIAKI KOGA	12407	3452
23389 7	7590 08/03/2004		EXAMINER	
SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA			ZIMMERMAN, BRIAN A	
	Y, NY 11530		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2635	20
			DATE MAILED: 08/03/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

44		T				
<b>,</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/244,190	KOGA, KUNIAKI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brian A Zimmerman	2635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed  ys will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 M	ay 2004.					
· _ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	· <del>_</del>					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 2-9 and 11-18 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 2-9 and 11-18 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	` '				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	, , ,	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:					

Application/Control Ember: 09/244,190

1

Art Unit: 2635

## **EXAMINER'S RESPONSE**

## **Status of Application**

In response to the applicant's amendment received on 5/27/04. The examiner has considered the new presentation of claims and applicant arguments in view of the disclosure and the present state of the prior art. And it is the examiner's position that claims 2-9,11-18 are unpatentable for the reasons set forth in this office action:

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

1. Claims 4-9 and 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bennett (4965569), Svensson (5687216z) and Nakajima (4477807).

Bennett shows a pager that present previously received messages. If a new message is received during the presenting of previously received messages the presenting is temporarily stopped and a new message is then presented.

See figures 9A, 9B, 10A, 10B and their descriptions especially, col. 17 lines 29-36, lines 60-63 and elements 356 and 364 of figure 12A. Bennett differs from the claimed invention in that the presentation of the messages in Bennett is audible, while the presentation of the messages in the claimed invention is by display.

One of ordinary skill in the art of pagers is well aware that pagers can use

Application/Control mber: 09/244,190

Art Unit: 2635

displays to display the stored page information equally as well as the audible storage and playback of Bennett.

In an analogous art, Svensson teaches to interrupt displaying of a stored message to indicate a different mode (or telephone number) and upon completion of reviewing the new message, returning to the same location of reviewing the stored messages. In order to accomplish this a memory location inherently exists to tag the reviewing location in order to return back. See col. 8 table 1.

In an analogous art, Nakajima shows a paging system where the messages are displayed in a sequential order based upon their arrival. See abstract. This allows the use to be aware of the importance of the received messages. The examiner takes official notice that tracking the arrival by time stamps of FIFO memory are both very common manners in the art to track the arrival sequence of messages.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have displayed received messages sequentially in the above-modified system in order to aid the user in determining the importance of the messages.

2. Claims 2 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bennett (4965569), and Svensson (5687216) and Nakajima as applied to claims 4 and 13 and further in view of either Sone (5793304), Burgan (6166621) or McLaughlin (4975694).

Application/Control Member: 09/244,190

Art Unit: 2635

In an analogous art Sone, Burgan and McLaughlin each teach a pager that is used display messages. Sone, Burgan and McLaughlin show displaying messages in one of a plurality of formats and displaying other (or additional) messages in a different format. The difference in the display formats is used to convey that the messages have different characteristics. See Sone col. 1 lines 33-36, Burgan Figure 6 and McLaughlin figure 7. This aids the user in knowing the type of message being presented.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have displayed different types of messages in different fashions for displaying the messages being presented in the Bennett system in order to aid the user in knowing the type of message being presented.

3. Claims 3 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bennett, Svensson and Nakajima as applied to claims 4 and 13 above, and further in view of Fennell (5430436).

In an analogous art, Fennell shows displaying common messages (equivalent to the already received messages of Bennett) in one format while displaying special messages (equivalent to new messages) in a different format. The difference in the formats is that one includes highlighting. See col. 3 lines 34+. This aids the user in knowing the type of message being presented.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used displayed different types of messages in different fashions for displaying the messages being presented in the above

Art Unit: 2635

modified system in order to aid the user in knowing the type of message being presented.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian A Zimmerman whose telephone number is 703-305-4796. The examiner can normally be reached on Off every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Horabik can be reached on 703-305-4704. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian & Zimmerman Primary Examiner Art Unit 2635